Recent CLEO Results

Karl M. Ecklund
Cornell University

Beauty 2003
October 14, 2003

CLEO CKM Results



Unitarity Triangle

- Motivation
  - Physics of flavor
  - CPV from CKM?
  - Look for new physics
- CLEO's contribution: $|V_{ub}|$, $|V_{cb}|$ from semileptonic B decays
  - Pioneering measurements
  - Still among the best!

UT Constraint from $|V_{ub}|$



$|V_{ub}|$ from $B \to \pi l \nu$:

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = \frac{G_F^2}{24\pi^3} |V_{ub}|^2 \, p_\pi^3 \, |f_+(q^2)|^2$$

Form factor $f(q^2)$:
- Encodes hadronic physics
- Not well known
- Limits $|V_{ub}|$ precision

- CLEO has measured $B \to \pi l \nu$, $\rho l \nu$ before
- New measurement that is binned in q^2, therefore sensitive to shape of $f(q^2)$
- FF computed in quark models, LQCD and LCSR

Exclusive B→πℓν



- Suppress b→c bkgd by reconstructing ν
- Use hermeticity of detector to infer p_ν
- Clean events required
  - Remove spurious tracks
  - and hadronic showers
- (E,p) conservation → peaks in M_B & ΔE
- Rate and form factor give $|V_{ub}|$

Exclusive $|V_{ub}|$

- 7 $B \to X_u \ell \nu$ submodes considered (π, ρ, ω, η)
- 3 q^2 bins for π, ρ
- Simultaneous ML Fit
  - Accounts for cross feed
  - Fit projections shown on right
- Isospin constraints
  - $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\pi^- \ell\nu) = \Gamma(\pi^0 \ell\nu)$
  - $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\rho^- \ell\nu) = \Gamma(\rho^0 \ell\nu) \approx \Gamma(\omega \ell\nu)$

$BF(B^0 \to \pi^- \ell\nu) = (1.33 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4}$

$BF(B^0 \to \rho^- \ell\nu) = (2.17 \pm 0.34^{+0.47}_{-0.54} \pm 0.01 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{-4}$



Extracting $|V_{ub}|$

$$\frac{d\Gamma(B^0 \to P^-\ell^+\nu)}{dy\, d\cos\theta_{W\ell}} = |V_{ub}|^2 \frac{G_F^2 k_P^3 M_B^2}{32\pi^3} \sin^2\theta_{W\ell} |f_1(q^2)|^2$$

- Fit $d\Gamma/dq^2$
  - Discriminates among FFs
- $B \to \pi l \nu$
  - FF dependence is small
  - Disfavors ISGW2
- $B \to \rho l \nu$
  - Larger FF dependence⇒greater model uncertainty in $|V_{ub}|$





Results

$B \to \pi l \nu$



$B \to \rho l \nu$



PRD 68, 072003 (2003)

$$|V_{ub}| = (3.17 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.17\ ^{+0.53}_{-0.39} \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-3}$$

World $|V_{ub}|$ Results



Ed Thorndike's Compilation @ FPCP `03

- All measurements are systematics limited
- CLEO pioneering new techniques and
- Using a very well-understood detector
- CLEO results still very competitive in B factory era

B Semileptonic Branching Fraction

- CLEO II: 10 fb^{-1} at $\Upsilon(4S)$
  - Mature, well-understood detector, data, Monte Carlo, generators, etc.
- Lepton-Tagged Analysis
  - p_{tag}>1.4 GeV/c plus accompanying electron with p_e>0.6 GeV/c.
  - Charge, angular correlations to separate primary ($B \to Xe\nu$) from secondary ($B \to D \to Ye\nu$).
- Refined electron ID, background and efficiency determinations.
  - Maximize understanding and minimize momentum dependence.



stat ± syst

$$\mathcal{B}(B \to Xe\nu) = (10.88 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.33)\%$$

$\mathcal{B}_{SL}$ - Status

- Good agreement among different techniques, experiments.
- Measurements at $\Upsilon(4S)$ have come up and LEP Z^0 average has come down.
  - Most recent LEP fit result is (10.59±0.22)%



Inclusive $|V_{cb}|$

Heavy Quark Expansion: double series in 1/M, α_s

$$\Gamma_{sl} = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2 M_B^5}{192\pi^3} 0.3689 [1 - 1.54\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} - 1.43\beta_0\frac{\alpha_s^2}{\pi^2} - 1.648\frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{M_B}(1 - 0.87\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}) - 0.946\frac{\bar{\Lambda}^2}{M_B^2} - 3.185\frac{\lambda_1}{M_B^2}$$
$$+ 0.02\frac{\lambda_2}{M_B^2} - 0.298\frac{\bar{\Lambda}^3}{M_B^3} - 3.28\frac{\bar{\Lambda}\lambda_1}{M_B^3} + 10.47\frac{\bar{\Lambda}\lambda_2}{M_B^3} - 6.153\frac{\rho_1}{M_B^3} + 7.482\frac{\rho_2}{M_B^3}$$
$$- 7.4\frac{\mathcal{T}_1}{M_B^3} + 1.491\frac{\mathcal{T}_2}{M_B^3} - 10.41\frac{\mathcal{T}_3}{M_B^3} - 7.482\frac{\mathcal{T}_4}{M_B^3} + \mathcal{O}(1/M_B^4)] \ .$$

- Ingredients:
  - $B(B \to X_c l\nu) = (10.8 \pm 0.3)\%$ (CLEO)
  - τ_{B^0} and $\tau_{B^\pm}$ (PDG), f_{+-}/f_{00} (CLEO)
  - HQE parameters Λ, λ_1, from moments $\langle E_\gamma \rangle$: $B \to X_s\gamma$, $\langle M_X^2 \rangle$: $B \to X l\nu$ (CLEO)
  - HQE parameter $\lambda_2 = 0.128 \pm 0.010$ from B*-B mass difference

  $\Rightarrow \Gamma_{SL} = (0.44 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-10}$ MeV
- Result:

  $|V_{cb}| = 0.0411 \pm 0.0005_{\text{exp } \Lambda,\lambda 1} \pm 0.0007_{\text{exp } \Gamma} \pm 0.0009_{\text{theory}}$

Overall precision: ~3% + quark-hadron duality.

New and Improved Measurement of the Hadronic Mass Moments in $B \to X_c l \nu$

hep-ex/0307081 contributed to Lepton-Photon 2003

Preliminary

- Compute recoiling hadronic mass from charged lepton and neutrino kinematics - neutrino "reconstruction"
- Near hermeticity of CLEO II $\Rightarrow$ Neutrino="What's missing"



Fit 3-dimensional differential decay rate, extract hadronic mass squared as a function of lepton-energy cut ($p_l > 1$ GeV/c).

$$M_X^2 = M_B^2 + q^2 - 2E_{beam}(E_\ell + E_\nu) + \underbrace{2|\vec{p}_B||\vec{q}|\cos\theta_{B\text{-}q}}_{neglected}$$

Fit Projections

- Selection criteria:
  - Cuts to enhance ν reconstruction
  - Continuum suppression
  - Efficiency ~2% for $B \to X_c l \nu$
- Sample to fit: 122K events
- Components of fit:
  - $B \to D l \nu$ } HQET+measured FFs
  - $B \to D^* l \nu$ }
  - $B \to D^{**} l \nu$ ISGW2
  - $B \to (X_c)_{NR} l \nu$ Goity/Roberts
  - $B \to X_u l \nu$ ISGW2+NR
  - Secondaries CLEO MC
  - Fake Leptons, Continuum fixed with data



Results

hep-ex/0307081

Fits $\rightarrow$Mode-by-Mode BFs

+

Generator-Level Info

(Fraction above p_l cut, moment value for that cut.)

$\Downarrow$

Moment Value for $B \rightarrow X_c l\nu$



Cut (GeV)	$\langle M_X^2 - \overline{M}_D^2 \rangle$ (GeV$^2/c^4$)
$E_\ell > 1.0$	$0.456 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.045 \pm 0.109$
$E_\ell > 1.1$	$0.422 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.031 \pm 0.084$
$E_\ell > 1.2$	$0.393 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.069$
$E_\ell > 1.3$	$0.364 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.054$
$E_\ell > 1.4$	$0.332 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.055$
$E_\ell > 1.5$	$0.293 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.033 \pm 0.048$

stat ± syst ± model

- Consistent with previous CLEO measurements, BaBar summer '03, DELPHI
- Interpretation is ongoing

More CLEO Physics Results

- Rare B decays
  - A_{CP} in $B \rightarrow K^{*+}\pi^-$
  - $B \rightarrow \eta' X_s$ BF
  - Upper Limit on Baryons in $B \rightarrow X_s\gamma$
- Hadronic B Decays
  - $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\rho$ helicity analysis (Final State Interactions)
- Upsilon Decays
  - $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \omega\Upsilon(1S)$
  - Two-body $\Upsilon(nS)$ decays
  - Searches for cc states
- Charmed Baryons
  - CPV in $\Lambda_c \rightarrow \Lambda\, e\, \nu$
- Charm Decays
  - Branching fractions
  - Mixing and DCSD
  - Dalitz plot analyses
    - Hadronic structure
    - CPV via interference in Dalitz Plot $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
- D_s spectroscopy
  - See talk by JC Wang

Summary

- CLEO is still contributing to $|V_{ub}|$ and $|V_{cb}|$ measurements
  - $|V_{cb}|$
  - $|V_{ub}|$
- See talks by
  - David Asner on CLEO-c Prospects
  - J.C. Wang on the new D_{sJ} states